1.0 DATASET OVERVIEW

1.1 Introduction

Intensive meteorological observations in the Khumbu Valley, Nepal Himalayas, have been conducted since the middle 90's (Ueno et al., 1996; Tartari et al., 1999; Bertolani et al., 2000; Ueno et al., 2001; Bollasina et al., 2002; Ueno and Pokhrel, 2002) in order to provide long-term monitoring of the monsoon at high altitude. This area, being located on the windward side of the Range with respect to the Indian monsoon, is well exposed to the summer winds. The studies conducted have demonstrated that the region is a significant point of observation both of local climate and large-scale circulation. A network of Automated Weather Stations (AWSs) has been established in the Eastern Himalayas: the AWSs are located at different altitudes, over a 40 km stretch oriented approximately south to north.

1.2 Time period covered by the data

Start: 2 November 2002, 06:00
End: 31 March 2003, 23:00

1.3 Temporal characteristics of the data

All parameters are recorded hourly. This station includes air temperature, relative humidity, dew point, specific humidity, station pressure, wind speed, wind direction, U wind component, V wind component, incoming shortwave radiation, and precipitation.

The following parameters are completely missing: incoming PAR, outgoing PAR, incoming longwave radiation, outgoing longwave radiation, outgoing shortwave radiation, net radiation, skin temperature and snow depth.

1.4 Physical location of the measurement
Latitude: 27° 41' 44" N
Longitude: 86° 43' 23" E
Elevation: 2660 m a.s.l.

1.5 Data source

Original data provided by the Ev-K2-CNR Committee.

1.6 WWW address references

http://news.epson-meteo.org
http://www.montagna.org

2.0 INSTRUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

2.1 Platform

The sensors are mounted on a 2-m and a 5-m masts.

2.2 Description of the instrumentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Temperature</td>
<td>DMA570</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>DQA035</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity</td>
<td>DMA570</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Pressure</td>
<td>CX115P</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>DNA022</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>DNA022</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward Shortwave Radiation</td>
<td>CM6B</td>
<td>Kipp&amp;Zonen (The Netherlands)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Instrumentation specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sensor Type</th>
<th>Height of sensor (m)</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Temperature 0.025°C Precipitation mm</td>
<td>Thermoresistance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity 0.2%</td>
<td>Capacitive Plate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1% (0-1 mm/min); 0.2 mm</td>
<td>2% (1-3 mm/min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Pressure 2 hPa</td>
<td>Slice of Silica</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 hPa</td>
<td>0.1 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed 0.05 m/s</td>
<td>3-cup anemometer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1 m/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>Potentiometer5 Temperature Difference</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1% (daily total) - 5%</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.0 DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

3.1 Description of data collection

Data are downloaded from the AWS twice every year, in spring and autumn. Then, data are sent to Italy, where they are processed.

3.2 Description of derived parameters and processing techniques used

Temperature, relative humidity and solar radiation are instantaneous values. Precipitation is accumulated on the previous hour. Atmospheric pressure is averaged over the previous hour. Wind speed and direction are the resulting average speed and direction over the previous hour (calculated by the datalogger by means of data recorded every 5 seconds): this to minimize data unreliability due to sudden gusts. Both of them are calculated weighting the frequency distribution of both variables within each hour.

3.3 Data format

These data are in the CEOP EOP-3 data format agreed to by the CEOP Scientific Steering Committee. This format is described in detail as part of the CEOP Reference Site Data Set Procedures Report which is available at the following URL:

http://www.joss.ucar.edu/ghp/ceopdm/refdata_report/ceop_sfc_met_format.html

4.0 QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

For all parameters, the data has been visually checked, looking for extremely low/high values and/or periods with constant values. Nocturnal radiation data has been checked for non-zero values; wind speed and direction for sensor freezing (in that case recorded wind speed is zero and wind direction is 360°); precipitation data was completely rejected due to sensor fault. The quality control flags follow the CEOP data flag definition document.

4.2 UCAR/JOSS Quality Control Procedures

UCAR/JOSS conducted two primary quality assurance/control procedures on the reference site data. First the data has been evaluated by a detailed QA algorithm that verifies the format is correct, examines any QC flags, and conducts basic checks on data values. Second, JOSS conducts a manual inspection of time series plots of each parameter.

5.0 GAP FILLING PROCEDURES

No gap filling procedure was applied.

6.0 DATA REMARKS

6.1 PI’s assessment of the data
6.1.1 Instruments problems

The tipping bucket had problems immediately after installation but it was possible to change the instrument only in September 2003. For this reason, all precipitation values are considered bad.

6.1.2 Quality issues

All precipitation values are bad (see 6.1.1). Due to sensor freezing, in some cases wind speed and direction were recorded as 0 and 360°, respectively, and, thus, considered bad. There is a general tendency of the sensor to over-estimate relative humidity and to reach saturation conditions.

6.2 Missing data periods

1 October 2002, 00:00 to 02 November 2002, 05:00 due to AWS installation (occurred on 30 October -2 November 2002).

7.0 REFERENCE REQUIREMENTS

Original data was collected and is provided within the framework of the Ev-K2-CNR/RONAST Joint Scientific and Technological Research Project, funded by Italian Ministries and National Research Council through the Ev-K2-CNR Committee.
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1.0 DATASET OVERVIEW

1.1 Introduction

Intensive meteorological observations in the Khumbu Valley, Nepal Himalayas, have been conducted since the middle 90's (Ueno et al., 1996; Tartari et al., 1999; Bertolani et al., 2000; Ueno et al., 2001; Bollasina et al., 2002; Ueno and Pokhrel, 2002) in order to provide long-term monitoring of the monsoon at high altitude. This area, being located on the windward side of the Range with respect to the Indian monsoon, is well exposed to the summer winds. The studies conducted have demonstrated that the region is a significant point of observation both of local climate and large-scale circulation. A network of Automated Weather Stations (AWSs) has been established in the Eastern Himalayas: the AWSs are located at different altitudes, over a 40 km stretch oriented approximately south to north.

1.2 Time period covered by the data

Start: 1 April 2003, 00:00
End: 30 September 2003, 23:00

1.3 Temporal characteristics of the data

All parameters are recorded hourly. Hour is UTC.

1.4 Physical location of the measurement

Latitude: 27° 41' 44" N
Longitude: 86° 43' 23" E
Elevation: 2660 m a.s.l.

1.5 Data source
Original data provided by the Ev-K²-CNR Committee.

1.6 WWW address references

http://news.epson-meteo.org
http://www.montagna.org

2.0 INSTRUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

2.1 Platform

The sensors are mounted on a 2-m and a 5-m masts.

2.2 Description of the instrumentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Temperature</td>
<td>DMA570</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>DQA035</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity</td>
<td>DMA570</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Pressure</td>
<td>CX115P</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>DNA022</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>DNA022</td>
<td>Lsi-Lastem (Italy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward Shortwave Radiation</td>
<td>CM6B</td>
<td>Kipp&amp;Zonen (The Netherlands)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Instrumentation specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sensor Type</th>
<th>Height of sensor (m)</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Temperature</td>
<td>Thermoresistance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1°C</td>
<td>0.025°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>Tipping Bucket</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1% (0-1 mm/min); 2% (1-3 mm/min)</td>
<td>0.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity</td>
<td>Capacitive Plate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Pressure</td>
<td>Slice of Silica</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 hPa</td>
<td>0.1 hPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Speed</td>
<td>3-cup anemometer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1 m/s</td>
<td>0.05 m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind Direction</td>
<td>Potentiometer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downward Shortwave Radiation</td>
<td>Temperature Difference</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5% (daily total)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.0 DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

3.1 Description of data collection

Data are downloaded from the AWS twice every year, in spring and autumn. Then, data are sent to Italy, where they are processed.

3.2 Description of derived parameters and processing techniques used

Temperature, relative humidity and solar radiation are instantaneous values. Precipitation is accumulated on the previous hour. Atmospheric pressure is averaged over the previous hour. Wind speed and direction are the resulting average speed and direction over the previous hour (calculated by the datalogger by means of data recorded every 5 seconds):
this to minimize data unreliability due to sudden gusts. Both of them are calculated weighting the frequency distribution of both variables within each hour. The three parameters indicated below were computed by using “CEOP Derived Parameter Equations” available at: http://www.joss.ucar.edu/ghp/ceopdm/refdata_report/eqns.html. These data have the flag “I”. In the case of calculated by using dubious value flagged “D”, the data flag was put D”. And these equations was not apply n the case of Bad value flagged “B”.

Dew Point Temperature was computed by using (Bolton 1980):
\[
es = 6.112 \times \exp\left(\frac{17.67 \times T}{T + 243.5}\right);
\]
\[
e = \frac{es \times RH}{100.0};
\]
\[
Td = \log\left(\frac{e}{6.112}\right) \times \frac{243.5}{17.67 - \log\left(\frac{e}{6.112}\right)};
\]
where:
- \(T\) = temperature in deg C;
- \(es\) = saturation vapor pressure in mb;
- \(e\) = vapor pressure in mb;
- \(RH\) = Relative Humidity in percent;
- \(Td\) = dew point in deg C

Specific Humidity was computed by using (Bolton 1980):
\[
e = 6.112 \times \exp\left(\frac{17.67 \times Td}{Td + 243.5}\right);
\]
\[
q = \frac{(0.622 \times e)}{(p - (0.378 \times e))};
\]
where:
- \(e\) = vapor pressure in mb;
- \(Td\) = dew point in deg C;
- \(p\) = surface pressure in mb;
- \(q\) = specific humidity in kg/kg.

U,V Components were computed by using (GEMPAK):
\[
U = -\sin(direction) \times \text{wind}_\text{speed};
\]
\[
V = -\cos(direction) \times \text{wind}_\text{speed};
\]

4.0 QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

For all parameters, the data has been visually checked, looking for extremely and unusual low/high values and/or periods with constant values. Nocturnal radiation data has been checked for non-zero values; wind speed and direction for sensor freezing (in that case recorded wind speed is zero and wind direction is 360°) and/or unusual high values. Where possible, cross-checking among the variation of different measured parameters (e.g., precipitation with relative humidity) was also performed to assure the consistency among the variations of different variables under the same conditions. The quality control flags follow the CEOP data flag definition document.

5.0 GAP FILLING PROCEDURES

No gap filling procedure was applied.

6.0 DATA REMARKS
6.1 PI's assessment of the data

6.1.1 Instruments problems

The tipping bucket had problems immediately after installation but it was possible to change the instrument only in Autumn 2003. For this reason, all precipitation values are considered bad.

6.1.2 Quality issues

All precipitation values are bad (see 6.1.1). Due to sensor freezing, in some cases wind speed and direction were recorded as 0 and 360, respectively, and, thus, considered bad. Sometimes, unusual high values were recorded and they were classified as bad. There is a general tendency of the sensor to over-estimate relative humidity and to reach saturation conditions.

6.2 Missing data periods

Data are missing from 2 August 2003 at 07:00 to 3 August 2003 at 07:00 and on 22 September 2003 at 09:00 due to operations on the Datalogger.

7.0 REFERENCE REQUIREMENTS

Original data was collected and is provided within the framework of the Ev-K\textsuperscript{2}-CNR/RONAST Joint Scientific and Technological Research Project, funded by Italian Ministries and National Research Council through the Ev-K\textsuperscript{2}-CNR Committee.
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