

TITLE

CAMP_Tibet_MS3478-AWS_20021001_20030331.twr

CONTACT

Hirohiko Ishikawa
Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University
okasho, Uji, Kyoto Pref.,611-0011 Japan
Phone: +81-774-38-4159
Fax : +81-774-38-4158
Email: ishikawa@storm.dpri.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Ken'ich UENO
University of Shiga Prefecture
Hassaka 2500 Hikone, Shiga 522-8533, Japan
Phone: +81-749-28-8312
Fax : +81-749-28-8477
Email: kueno@ses.usp.ac.jp

Yaoming MA
Institute for Tibetan Plateau Research
P.O. Box 2871, Beijing 100085, China.
Phone: +86-10-6284-9294
Fax : +86-10-6284-9886
Email: ymma@itp.cas.ac.cn

Kenji Tanaka
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kumamoto University
Kurokami 2-39-1, Kumamoto, Kumamoto Pref., 860-8555, Japan
Phone: +81-96-342-3601
Fax : +81-96-342-3601
Email: ktanaka@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

DATE OF THIS DOCUMENT

02 Sep. 2004

1. 0 DATASET OVERVIEW

1.1 Introduction

To clarify the energy and water cycle in the Tibetan Plateau, it is important to understand the characteristics of the basic meteorological elements and surface fluxes.

The purpose of Tibet AWS (Automatic Weather Station) observation is to improve the quantitative understanding of land-atmosphere interactions over the Tibetan Plateau and develop the land surface process models by monitoring these meteorological values.

1.2 Time period covered by the data

Start: 1 October 2002, 00:00

End: 31 March 2003, 23:00

1.3 Temporal characteristics of the data

All parameters are recorded every hour.

1.4 Physical location of the measurement

Latitude : 31.92623 N
Longitude : 91.71468 E
Elevation : 4619.5 m a.s.l.
Landscape : Grass land
Canopy height : 15 – 30 cm.
Soil Characteristics: Silt loam

1.5 Data source

1.6 Website address references

<http://monsoon.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/camp/tibets/>

2.0 INSTRUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

2.1 Platform

This system was constructed in summer 2000. The site is located about 90 km north of the city of Naqu along the highway. The site is to represent cold and flat location in the east middle Tibetan Plateau. The sensors are mounted on several heights.

2.2 Description of the instrumentation

Parameter	Model	Manufacturer
Station Pressure	PTB220C	VAISALA
Air Temperature	TS-801(Pt100)	Okazaki
Relative Humidity	HMP-45D	VAISALA
Wind Speed	WS-D32	Komatsu
Wind Direction	WS-D32	Komatsu

2.3 Instrumentation specification

Station Pressure (0.5m) : Station Pressure at the 0.5m height (hPa)
Air Temperature (9.3m) : Air Temperature at the 9.3m height (deg.C)
Air Temperature (1.0m) : Air Temperature at the 1.0m height (deg.C)
Relative Humidity (9.3m) : Relative Humidity at the 9.3m height (%)
Relative Humidity (1.0m) : Relative Humidity at the 1.0m height (%)
Wind Speed (10.0m) : Wind Speed at the 10.0m height (m/s)
Wind Speed (5.0m) : Wind Speed at the 5.0m height (m/s)
Wind Speed (1.0m) : Wind Speed at the 1.0m height (m/s)
Wind Direction (10.0m) : Wind Direction at the 10.0m height (deg.)

3.0 DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

3.1 Description of data collection

Original data are sampled at every 5 seconds (0.2Hz) and average is computed and stored in a data logger (Campbell CR-10X).

Data are downloaded from the Tower twice every year, in spring and summer. Then, data are sent to Japan, where they are processed.

3.2 Description of derived parameters and processing techniques used

Air Temperature, relative humidity, Wind speed and Wind direction averaged over the previous hour. Air pressure is instantaneous values of each 1 hour.

And the one parameters indicated below were computed by using "CEOP Derived Parameter Equations : http://www.joss.ucar.edu/ghp/ceopdm/refdata_report/eqns.html" . also put the data flag "I",

U,V Components were computed by using (GEMPAK):

$$U = -\sin(\text{direction}) * \text{wind_speed};$$

$$V = -\cos(\text{direction}) * \text{wind_speed};$$

4.0 QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

For all parameters, the data has been visually checked, looking for extremely and unusual low/high values and/or periods with constant values through the CAMP Quality Control Web Interface.

The quality control flags follow the CEOP data flag definition document.

5.0 GAP FILLING PROCEDURES

No gap filling procedure was applied.

6.0 DATA REMARKS

6.1 PI's assessment of the data

6.1.1 Instruments problems

None.

6.1.2 Quality issues

6.2 Missing data periods

None

7.0 REFERENCE REQUIREMENTS

Original data was collected and is provided within the framework of GAME/CAMP Tibet Scientific and Technological Research Project, funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology; the Japan Science and Technology Agency; the Frontier Research System for Global Change; the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency; the Chinese Academy of Sciences; and the Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences.

8.0 REFERENCES

H. Ishikawa and GAME-Tibet Boundary Layer Group, 2001: What has been known and what has not in GAME/Tibet BL observation, Proceedings of the Fifth International Study Conference on GEWEX in Asia and GAME, 691.

Ma, Yaoming, O. Tsukamoto, H. Ishikawa, Z. Su, M. Menenti, J. Wang and J. Wen, 2002: Determination of regional land surface heat flux densities over heterogeneous landscape of HEIFE integrating satellite remote sensing with field observations, Jour. Meteorol. Soc. Japan, 80(3), 485-501.

K. Tanaka, I. Tamagawa, H. Ishikawa, Y. Ma and Z. Hu, 2003: Surface energy and closure of the eastern Tibetan Plateau during the GAME-Tibet IOP 1998, J. Hydrology, vol. 283, pp. 169-183

K. Tanaka and H. Ishikawa, 2001: Long term monitoring of surface energy fluxes of the Amdo PBL site in the eastern Tibetan Plateau, Proceedings of the Fifth International Study Conference on GEWEX in Asia and GAME, 384-388.

Ueno, K., H. Fujii, H. Yamada and L. Liu, (2001) Weak and Frequent Monsoon Precipitation over the Tibetan Plateau. J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 79, 1B, 419-434.

TITLE

CAMP_Tibet_MS3478-AWS_20030401_20030930.twr

CONTACT

Hirohiko Ishikawa
Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University
Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto Pref.,611-0011 Japan
Phone: +81-774-38-4159
Fax : +81-774-38-4158
Email: ishikawa@storm.dpri.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Ken'ich UENO
University of Tsukuba
Tennoudai 1-1-1 Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan
Phone/Fax: +8129-853-4399
Email: kenueno@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp

Yaoming MA
Institute for Tibetan Plateau Research
P.O. Box 2871, Beijing 100085, China.
Phone: +86-10-6284-9294
Fax : +86-10-6284-9886
Email: ymma@itp.cas.ac.cn

Kenji Tanaka
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kumamoto University
Kurokami 2-39-1, Kumamoto, Kumamoto Pref., 860-8555, Japan
Phone/Fax: +81-96-342-3601
Email: ktanaka@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

DATE OF THIS DOCUMENT

19 Apr. 2006

1. 0 DATASET OVERVIEW

1.7 Introduction

To clarify the energy and water cycle in the Tibetan Plateau, it is important to understand the characteristics of the basic meteorological elements and surface fluxes.

The purpose of Tibet AWS (Automatic Weather Station) observation is to improve the quantitative understanding of land-atmosphere interactions over the Tibetan Plateau and develop the land surface process models by monitoring these meteorological values.

1.8 Time period covered by the data

Start: 1 October 2002, 00:00
End: 30 September 2003, 23:00

1.9 Temporal characteristics of the data

All parameters are recoded every hour.

1.10 Physical location of the measurement

Latitude : 31.92623 N
Longitude : 91.71468 E
Elevation : 4619.5 m a.s.l.
Landscape : Grass land
Canopy height : 15 – 30 cm.
Soil Characteristics: Silt loam

1.11 Data source

1.12 Website address references

<http://monsoon.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/camp/tibets/>

2.0 INSTRUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

2.1 Platform

This system was constructed in summer 2000. The site is located about 90 km north of the city of Naqu along the highway. The site is to represent cold and flat location in the east middle Tibetan Plateau. The sensors are mounted on several heights.

2.2 Description of the instrumentation

Parameter	Model	Manufacturer
Station Pressure	PTB220C	VAISALA
Air Temperature	TS-801(Pt100)	Okazaki
Relative Humidity	HMP-45D	VAISALA
Wind Speed	WS-D32	Komatsu
Wind Direction	WS-D32	Komatsu

2.4 Instrumentation specification

Station Pressure (0.5m) : Station Pressure at the 0.5m height (hPa)
Air Temperature (9.3m) : Air Temperature at the 9.3m height (deg.C)
Air Temperature (1.0m) : Air Temperature at the 1.0m height (deg.C)
Relative Humidity (9.3m) : Relative Humidity at the 9.3m height (%)
Relative Humidity (1.0m) : Relative Humidity at the 1.0m height (%)
Wind Speed (10.0m) : Wind Speed at the 10.0m height (m/s)
Wind Speed (5.0m) : Wind Speed at the 5.0m height (m/s)
Wind Speed (1.0m) : Wind Speed at the 1.0m height (m/s)
Wind Direction (10.0m) : Wind Direction at the 10.0m height (deg.)

3.0 DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

3.1 Description of data collection

Original data are sampled at every 5 seconds (0.2Hz) and average is computed and stored in a data logger (Campbell CR-10X).

Data are downloaded from the Tower twice every year, in spring and summer. Then, data are sent to Japan, where they are processed.

3.2 Description of derived parameters and processing techniques used

Air Temperature, relative humidity, Wind speed and Wind direction averaged over the previous hour. Air pressure is instantaneous values of each 1 hour.

One humidity sensor is set up for the comparison the performance of No.1 (9.3 m) and No.2 (1.0m) humidity sensor. This reference sensor is exchanged its level (From 1.0 m to 8.2 m) or down (From 8.2 m to 1.0m) for every operation. We selected the couple of dataset (No.1 main sensor and No.1 reference sensor; No2. main sensor and No. 2 reference sensor) just before or after 5 days of the operation, and made the linear regressions.

$$RH1 = a1 * RH_ref + b1$$

$$RH2 = a1 * RH_ref + b2$$

From these two equations, the regression function between RH1 and RH2 can be derived as

$$RH2 = a * RH1 + b$$

RH2 (1.0 m) was corrected as a reference of RH1 using above relation and put the data flag "I".

Because of the calibration coefficients were changed very slowly, monthly value was computed linearly.

	a	b
2003-Apr	1.0076	1.3746
2003-May	1.0080	1.3448
2003-Jun	1.0085	1.3150
2003-Jul	1.0089	1.2853
2003-Aug	1.0093	1.2554
2003-Sep	1.0097	1.2257

And the one parameters indicated below were computed by using "CEOP Derived Parameter Equations" : http://www.joss.ucar.edu/ghp/ceopdm/refdata_report/eqns.html . also put the data flag "I",

U,V Components were computed by using (GEMPAK):

$$U = -\sin(\text{direction}) * \text{wind_speed};$$

$$V = -\cos(\text{direction}) * \text{wind_speed};$$

Specific Humidity (Qv) was computed by using

$$Qv = 0.622 Rv / (1 + Rv)$$

$$Rv = \text{Evap} / \text{Air_Pressure}$$

$$\text{Evap} = Rh * 0.01 * \text{Evap_sat}$$

$$\text{Evap_sat} = 6.1078^{(a * \text{Air_Temp} / (b + \text{Air_Temp}))}$$

$$(a = 7.5, b = 237.3 \text{ for Air_Temp} \geq 0 \text{ degC}; a = 9.5, b = 265.3 \text{ for Air_Temp} < 0)$$

4.0 QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

For all parameters, the data has been visually checked, looking for extremely and unusual low/high values and/or periods with constant values thorough the CAMP Quality Control Web Interface.

The quality control flags follow the CEOP data flag definition document.

5.0 GAP FILLING PROCEDURES

No gap filling procedure was applied.

6.0 DATA REMARKS

6.1 PI's assessment of the data

6.1.1 Instruments problems

None.

6.1.2 Quality issues

6.2 Missing data periods

The missing data period are listed in chapter 9.0.

7.0 REFERENCE REQUIREMENTS

Original data was collected and is provided within the framework of GAME/CAMP Tibet Scientific and Technological Research Project, funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology; the Japan Science and Technology Agency; the Frontier Research System for Global Change; the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency; the Chinese Academy of Sciences; and the Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences.

8.0 REFERENCES

H. Ishikawa and GAME-Tibet Boundary Layer Group, 2001: What has been known and what has not in GAME/Tibet BL observation, Proceedings of the Fifth International Study Conference on GEWEX in Asia and GAME, 691.

Ma, Yaoming, O. Tsukamoto, H. Ishikawa, Z. Su, M. Menenti, J. Wang and J. Wen, 2002: Determination of regional land surface heat flux densities over heterogeneous landscape of HEIFE integrating satellite remote sensing with field observations, Jour. Meteorol. Soc. Japan, 80(3), 485-501.

K. Tanaka, I. Tamagawa, H. Ishikawa, Y. Ma and Z. Hu, 2003: Surface energy and closure of the eastern Tibetan Plateau during the GAME-Tibet IOP 1998, J. Hydrology, vol. 283, pp. 169-183

K. Tanaka and H. Ishikawa, 2001: Long term monitoring of surface energy fluxes of the Amdo PBL site in the eastern Tibetan Plateau, Proceedings of the Fifth International Study Conference on GEWEX in Asia and GAME, 384-388.

Ueno, K., H. Fujii, H. Yamada and L. Liu, (2001) Weak and Frequent Monsoon Precipitation over the Tibetan Plateau. J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 79, 1B, 419-434.

9.0 Missing data periods

None

TITLE

CAMP_Tibet_MS3478-AWS_20031001_20041231.twr

CONTACT

Hirohiko Ishikawa
Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University
Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto Pref.,611-0011 Japan
Phone: +81-774-38-4159
Fax : +81-774-38-4158
Email: ishikawa@storm.dpri.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Ken'ich UENO
University of Tsukuba
Tennoudai 1-1-1 Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan
Phone/Fax: +8129-853-4399
Email: kenueno@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp

Yaoming MA
Institute for Tibetan Plateau Research
P.O. Box 2871, Beijing 100085, China.
Phone: +86-10-6284-9294
Fax : +86-10-6284-9886
Email: ymma@itp.cas.ac.cn

Kenji Tanaka
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kumamoto University
Kurokami 2-39-1, Kumamoto, Kumamoto Pref., 860-8555, Japan
Phone/Fax: +81-96-342-3601
Email: ktanaka@gpo.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

DATE OF THIS DOCUMENT

7 July, 2006

1. 0 DATASET OVERVIEW

1.13 Introduction

To clarify the energy and water cycle in the Tibetan Plateau, it is important to understand the characteristics of the basic meteorological elements and surface fluxes.

The purpose of Tibet AWS (Automatic Weather Station) observation is to improve the quantitative understanding of land-atmosphere interactions over the Tibetan Plateau and develop the land surface process models by monitoring these meteorological values.

1.14 Time period covered by the data

Start: 1 October 2003, 00:00
End: 31 December 2004, 23:00

1.15 Temporal characteristics of the data

All parameters are recoded every hour.

1.16 Physical location of the measurement

Latitude : 31.92623 N
Longitude : 91.71468 E
Elevation : 4619.5 m a.s.l.
Landscape : Grass land
Canopy height : 15 – 30 cm.
Soil Characteristics: Silt loam

1.17 Data source

1.18 Website address references

<http://monsoon.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp/camp/tibets/>

2.0 INSTRUMENTATION DESCRIPTION

2.1 Platform

This system was constructed in summer 2000. The site is located about 90 km north of the city of Naqu along the highway. The site is to represent cold and flat location in the east middle Tibetan Plateau. The sensors are mounted on several heights.

2.2 Description of the instrumentation

Parameter	Model	Manufacturer
Station Pressure	PTB220C	VAISALA
Air Temperature	TS-801(Pt100)	Okazaki
Relative Humidity	HMP-45D	VAISALA
Wind Speed	WS-D32	Komatsu
Wind Direction	WS-D32	Komatsu

2.5 Instrumentation specification

Station Pressure (0.5m) : Station Pressure at the 0.5m height (hPa)
Air Temperature (9.3m) : Air Temperature at the 9.3m height (deg.C)
Air Temperature (1.0m) : Air Temperature at the 1.0m height (deg.C)
Relative Humidity (9.3m) : Relative Humidity at the 9.3m height (%)
Relative Humidity (1.0m) : Relative Humidity at the 1.0m height (%)
Wind Speed (10.0m) : Wind Speed at the 10.0m height (m/s)
Wind Speed (5.0m) : Wind Speed at the 5.0m height (m/s)
Wind Speed (1.0m) : Wind Speed at the 1.0m height (m/s)
Wind Direction (10.0m) : Wind Direction at the 10.0m height (deg.)

3.0 DATA COLLECTION AND PROCESSING

3.1 Description of data collection

Original data are sampled at every 5 seconds (0.2Hz) and average is computed and stored in a data logger (Campbell CR-10X).

Data are downloaded from the Tower twice every year, in spring and summer. Then, data are sent to Japan, where they are processed.

3.2 Description of derived parameters and processing techniques used

Air Temperature, relative humidity, Wind speed and Wind direction averaged over the previous hour. Air pressure is instantaneous values of each 1 hour.

One humidity sensor is set up for the comparison the performance of No.1 (9.3 m) and No.2 (1.0m) humidity sensor. This reference sensor is exchanged its level (From 1.0 m to 8.2 m) or down (From 8.2 m to 1.0m) for every operation. We selected the couple of dataset (No.1 main sensor and No.1 reference sensor; No2. main sensor and No. 2 reference sensor) just before or after 5 days of the operation, and made the linear regressions.

$$RH1 = a1 * RH_ref + b1$$

$$RH2 = a1 * RH_ref + b2$$

From these two equations, the regression function between RH1 and RH2 can be derived as

$$RH2 = a * RH1 + b$$

RH2 (1.0 m) was corrected as a reference of RH1 using above relation and put the data flag "I".

Because of the calibration coefficients were changed very slowly, monthly value was computed linearly.

	a	b
2003-Apr	1.0076	1.3746
2003-May	1.0080	1.3448
2003-Jun	1.0085	1.3150
2003-Jul	1.0089	1.2853
2003-Aug	1.0093	1.2554
2003-Sep	1.0097	1.2257

And the one parameters indicated below were computed by using "CEOP Derived Parameter Equations" : http://www.joss.ucar.edu/ghp/ceopdm/refdata_report/eqns.html . also put the data flag "I",

U,V Components were computed by using (GEMPAK):

$$U = -\sin(\text{direction}) * \text{wind_speed};$$

$$V = -\cos(\text{direction}) * \text{wind_speed};$$

Specific Humidity (Qv) was computed by using

$$Qv = 0.622 Rv / (1 + Rv)$$

$$Rv = \text{Evap} / \text{Air_Pressure}$$

$$\text{Evap} = Rh * 0.01 * \text{Evap_sat}$$

$$\text{Evap_sat} = 6.1078^{(a * \text{Air_Temp} / (b + \text{Air_Temp}))}$$

$$(a = 7.5, b = 237.3 \text{ for Air_Temp} \geq 0 \text{ degC}; a = 9.5, b = 265.3 \text{ for Air_Temp} < 0)$$

4.0 QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

For all parameters, the data has been visually checked, looking for extremely and unusual low/high values and/or periods with constant values through the CAMP Quality Control Web Interface.

The quality control flags follow the CEOP data flag definition document.

5.0 GAP FILLING PROCEDURES

No gap filling procedure was applied.

6.0 DATA REMARKS

6.1 PI's assessment of the data

6.1.1 Instruments problems

None.

6.1.2 Quality issues

6.2 Missing data periods

The missing data period are listed in chapter 9.0.

7.0 REFERENCE REQUIREMENTS

Original data was collected and is provided within the framework of GAME/CAMP Tibet Scientific and Technological Research Project, funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology; the Japan Science and Technology Agency; the Frontier Research System for Global Change; the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency; the Chinese Academy of Sciences; and the Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences.

8.0 REFERENCES

H. Ishikawa and GAME-Tibet Boundary Layer Group, 2001: What has been known and what has not in GAME/Tibet BL observation, Proceedings of the Fifth International Study Conference on GEWEX in Asia and GAME, 691.

Ma, Yaoming, O. Tsukamoto, H. Ishikawa, Z. Su, M. Menenti, J. Wang and J. Wen, 2002: Determination of regional land surface heat flux densities over heterogeneous landscape of HEIFE integrating satellite remote sensing with field observations, Jour. Meteorol. Soc. Japan, 80(3), 485-501.

K. Tanaka, I. Tamagawa, H. Ishikawa, Y. Ma and Z. Hu, 2003: Surface energy and closure of the eastern Tibetan Plateau during the GAME-Tibet IOP 1998, J. Hydrology, vol. 283, pp. 169-183

K. Tanaka and H. Ishikawa, 2001: Long term monitoring of surface energy fluxes of the Amdo PBL site in the eastern Tibetan Plateau, Proceedings of the Fifth International Study Conference on GEWEX in Asia and GAME, 384-388.

Ueno, K., H. Fujii, H. Yamada and L. Liu, (2001) Weak and Frequent Monsoon Precipitation over the Tibetan Plateau. J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 79, 1B, 419-434.

9.0 Missing data periods

File Name : CAMP_Tibet_MS3478-AWS_20031001_20041231.twr
Data Period : 2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00

Station Pressure (1.00m)
No missing data.

Station Pressure (5.00m)
No missing data.

Station Pressure (9.30m)
No missing data.

Station Pressure (10.00m)
No missing data.

Air Temperature (1.00m)
No missing data.

Air Temperature (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Air Temperature (9.30m)
No missing data.

Air Temperature (10.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Dew Point Temperature (1.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Dew Point Temperature (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Dew Point Temperature (9.30m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Dew Point Temperature (10.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Relative Humidity (1.00m)
No missing data.

Relative Humidity (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Relative Humidity (9.30m)

No missing data.

Relative Humidity (10.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Specific Humidity (1.00m)
No missing data.

Specific Humidity (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Specific Humidity (9.30m)
No missing data.

Specific Humidity (10.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Wind Speed (1.00m)
No missing data.

Wind Speed (5.00m)
2003/11/28 22:00
2003/12/10 01:00
2003/12/10 22:00
2003/12/14 00:00
2003/12/25 20:00
2003/12/28 22:00

Wind Speed (9.30m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Wind Speed (10.00m)
No missing data.

Wind Direction (1.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Wind Direction (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Wind Direction (9.30m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

Wind Direction (10.00m)
No missing data.

U Wind Component (1.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

U Wind Component (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

U Wind Component (9.30m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

U Wind Component (10.00m)
No missing data.

V Wind Component (1.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

V Wind Component (5.00m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

V Wind Component (9.30m)
2003/10/01 00:00 - 2004/12/31 23:00 (ALL)

V Wind Component (10.00m)
No missing data.