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### 1.0 Data Set Overview:

- "enrGies" is a commercial engineering and operations-based company located in Huntsville, AL that, among other items, provides expertise and services related to unmanned aircraft systems (UAS). In collaboration with the NOAA UAS Program Office, the University of Alabama in Huntsville, the Morgan County AL Emergency Management Agency, and the National Weather Service Weather Forecast Office in Huntsville, AL, enrGies donated expertise, operational time, GIS computer processing resources, and expertise toward the acquisition and delivery of aerial imagery of a damage path produced by an EF-2 tornado that occurred on the evening of Thursday March 31, 2016 along a $\sim 9$ mile track across parts of Morgan County, AL in support of Intensive Operations Period (IOP) \#3 for the 2016 VORTEX-Southeast field campaign.
- The imagery for this dataset was obtained on date:

04-08-2016

- The approximate center point of the collected imagery product is located at the following coordinates: 34.510585 Latitude, -86.870287 Longitude


### 2.0 Instrument Description:

- The UAS was composed of the senseFly eBee platform, integrated with the CanonPowerShotS110 5.2 4000×3000 (RGB) camera as the optical sensor
- For more information regarding either this UAV platform or the optical sensor payload, please refer to the following internet links:
https://www.sensefly.com/fileadmin/user_upload/sensefly/documents/brochures/eBee_RTK_en.pdf https://www.sensefly.com/fileadmin/user_upload/sensefly/documents/manuals/user_manual_s110_v3.pdf


### 3.0 Data Collection and Processing:

- The data was collected by the integrated camera payload from the eBee UAV platform at a nominal altitude ranging between approximately 300 to 400 feet AGL. A pre-planned semi-autonomous "lawnmower" flight pattern was utilized to cover the area of interest and collect the raw data, which was later processed to generate the finished two-dimensional orthomosaic product. Further GIS processing report and associate metadata, provided below.
- The original horizontal spatial resolution (aka: Ground Sample Distance) of the associated product was $3.91 \mathrm{~cm} /$ pixel; however, some slight degradation of this value can be expected due to the conversion and compression into the more versatile "tiled KML" data format.


### 4.0 Data Format:

- Tiled KML


### 5.0 Data Remarks:

- N/A


### 6.0 References:

- N/A


### 7.0 Processing Quality Report:

- See following pages, below


## Quality Report

Generated with Posfflight Terra 3D version 4.0.83
(!) Important: Click on the different icons for:
(?) Help to analyze the results in the Quality Report
(i) Additional information about the sections

Click here for additional tips to analyze the Quality Report

## Summary

(i)

| Project | tornado - libbys n |
| :--- | :--- |
| Processed | $2016-04-20$ 15:23:47 |
| Average Ground Sampling Distance (GSD) | $3.91 \mathrm{~cm} / 1.54$ in |
| Area Covered | undefined |

Quality Check

| (?) Images | median of 13382 keypoints per image | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (?) Dataset | 214 out of 304 images calibrated (70\%), all images enabled, 3 blocks | $\triangle$ |
| (3) Camera Optimization | $0.32 \%$ relative difference between initial and optimized internal camera parameters | $\bigcirc$ |
| (3) Matching | median of 2244.7 matches per calibrated image | $\bigcirc$ |
| (3) Georeferencing | no, no 3D GCP | $\triangle$ |



Figure 1: Orthomosaic and the corresponding sparse Digital Surface Model (DSM) before densification.

## Calibration Details



Figure 2: Top view of the initial image position. The green line follows the position of the images in time starting from the large blue dot.



Figure 4: Number of overlapping images computed for each pixel of the orthomosaic.
Red and yellow areas indicate low overlap for which poor results may be generated. Green areas indicate an overlap of over 5 images for every pixel. Good quality results will be generated as long as the number of keypoint matches is also sufficient for these areas (see Figure 5 for keypoint matches).

## Bundle Block Adjustment Details

| Number of 2D Keypoint Observations for Bundle Block Adjustment | 491560 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of 3D Points for Bundle Block Adjustment | 202614 |
| Mean Reprojection Error [pixels] | 0.133508 |

## (?) Internal Camera Parameters

© CanonPowerShotS110_5.2_4000x3000 (RGB). Sensor Dimensions: 7.440 [mm] x $5.580[\mathrm{~mm}]$
EXIF ID: CanonPowerShotS110_5.2_4000x3000

|  | Focal Length | Principal Point $x$ | Principal Point y | R1 | R2 | R3 | T1 | T2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Values | $\begin{aligned} & 2860.478 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 5.320[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2047.508 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 3.808 \text { [mm] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1494.393 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 2.780[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | -0.040 | -0.012 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.004 |
| Optimized Values | $\begin{aligned} & 2869.914 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 5.338[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970.732[\text { pixel }] \\ & 3.666[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1491.515 \text { [pixel] } \\ & 2.774[\mathrm{~mm}] \end{aligned}$ | -0.037 | -0.013 | 0.010 | -0.001 | -0.002 |



The number of Automatic Tie Points (ATPs) per pixel averaged over all images of the camera model is color coded between black and white. White indicates that, in average, more than 16 ATPs are extracted at this pixel location. Black indicates that, in average, 0 ATP has been extracted at this pixel location. Click on the image to the see the average direction and magnitude of the reprojection error for each pixel. Note that the vectors are scaled for better visualization.

|  | Number of 2D Keypoints per Image | Number of Matched 2D Keypoints per Image |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median | 13382 | 2245 |
| Mn | 11550 | 1 |
| Max | 20463 | 5934 |
| Mean | 13378 | 2297 |

(?) 3D Points from 2D Keypoint Matches
(i)

|  | Number of 3D Points Observed |
| :--- | :--- |
| In 2 Images | 156145 |
| In 3 Images | 27342 |
| In 4 Images | 9815 |
| In 5 Images | 4365 |
| In 6 Images | 2199 |
| In 7 Images | 1188 |
| In 8 Images | 644 |
| In 9 Images | 376 |
| In 10 Images | 213 |
| In 11 Images | 126 |
| In 12 Images | 93 |
| In 13 Images | 58 |
| In 14 Images | 27 |
| In 15 Images | 19 |
| In 16 Images | 4 |

(?) 2D Keypoint Matches
(i)


Figure 5: Top view of the image computed positions with a link between matching images. The darkness of the links indicates the number of matched 2D keypoints between the images. Bright links indicate weak links and require manual tie points or more images.

## Manual Tie Points

(i)

| MTP Name | Projection Error [pixel] | Verified/Marked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mtp133719 | 1.635 | 3/3 |
| mtp14605 | 1.377 | 4/4 |
| mtp114656 | 0.752 | 3/3 |
| mtp114656_1 | 9.498 | 4/4 |
| mtp114664 | 3.360 | 3/3 |
| mtp120245 | 520.592 | 3/3 |
| mtp114646 | 3.138 | 3/3 |
| mtp114662 | 5.245 | 3/3 |
| mtp10 | 8.325 | 8/8 |
| mtp11_1 | 3.583 | 8/8 |
| mtp12 | 0.025 | $2 / 2$ |
| mtp13 | 0.204 | 4/4 |
| mtp14 | 0.362 | 4/4 |
| mtp15 | 0.841 | 4/4 |
| mtp16 | 0.257 | 3/3 |
| mtp20 | 1.854 | 12/12 |
| mtp21 | 0.682 | 14/14 |
| mtp22 | 1.120 | 12/12 |
| mtp23 | 1.455 | 5/5 |
| mtp24 | 1.376 | 5/5 |
| mtp25 | 2.020 | 5/5 |
| mtp26 | 93.977 | 6/6 |
| mtp27 | 16.617 | 8/9 |
| mtp28 | 10.004 | 9/9 |
| mtp29 | 3.392 | 6/6 |
| mtp30 | 2.702 | $7 / 7$ |
| mtp31 | 2.469 | $7 / 7$ |
| mtp32 | 2.013 | 4/4 |
| mtp33_1 | 4.276 | 5/5 |
| mtp34 | 0.825 | $7 / 7$ |
| mtp35 | 2.008 | 6/6 |
| mtp36 | 1.139 | 6/6 |
| mtp37 | 1.301 | 12/12 |
| mtp38 | 2.731 | $7 / 7$ |
| mtp39 | 1.325 | 9/9 |
| mtp40 | 1.327 | 9/9 |
| mtp 41 | 1.094 | $7 / 7$ |
| mtp42 | 0.875 | $7 / 7$ |
| mtp 43 | 1.187 | 9/9 |

Projection errors for manual tie points. The last column counts the number of images where the manual tie point has been automatically verified vs. manually marked.

## Geolocation Details

## (?) Absolute Geolocation Variance

| Mn Error $[\mathrm{m}]$ | Max Error $[\mathrm{m}]$ | Geolocation Error X[\%] | Geolocation Error Y[\%] | Geolocation Error Z[\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | -4.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -4.23 | -3.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| -3.39 | -2.54 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| -2.54 | -1.69 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| -1.69 | -0.85 | 4.23 | 6.10 | 14.08 |
| -0.85 | 0.00 | 45.07 | 44.60 | 37.09 |
| 0.00 | 0.85 | 44.13 | 37.09 | 31.46 |
| 0.85 | 1.69 | 5.63 | 10.33 | 16.90 |
| 1.69 | 2.54 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| 2.54 | 3.39 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| 3.39 | 4.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4.23 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Mean $[\mathrm{m}]$ |  | -0.016134 | 0.015294 | 0.016309 |
| Sigma $[\mathrm{m}]$ |  | 0.590281 | 0.698881 | 0.729979 |
| RMS Error [m] |  | 0.590502 | 0.699049 | 0.730161 |

Min Error and Max Error represent geolocation error intervals between -1.5 and 1.5 times the maximum accuracy of all the images. Columns $X, Y, Z$ show the percentage of images with geolocation errors within the predefined error intervals. The geolocation error is the difference between the intial and computed image positions. Note that the image geolocation errors do not correspond to the accuracy of the observed 3D points.

## Relative Geolocation Variance

| Relative Geolocation Error | Images $X[\%]$ | Images $Y[\%]$ | Images Z[\%] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[-1.00,1.00]$ | 99.06 | 98.12 | 100.00 |
| $[-2.00,2.00]$ | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| $[-3.00,3.00]$ | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Mean of Geolocation Accuracy $[\mathrm{m}]$ | 1.967188 | 1.967188 | 2.314897 |
| Sigma of Geolocation Accuracy $[\mathrm{m}]$ | 0.090888 | 0.090888 | 0.214251 |

Images $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$ represent the percentage of images with a relative geolocation error in $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$.

| Geolocation Orientational Variance | RMS [degree] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Omega | 6.315908 |
| Phi | 5.735640 |
| Kappa | 13.927634 |


| Hardware | CPU: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-5820K CPU @ 3.30 GHz <br> RAM 32GB <br> GPU: NMDIAGeForce GTX970 (Driver: 10.18.13.5382) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Operating System | Windows 10 Pro, 64-bit |
| Camera Mbdel Name | CanonPowerShotS110_5.2_4000×3000 (RGB) |
| Image Coordinate System | WGS84 |
| Ground Control Point (GCP) <br> Coordinate System | WGS84 / UTMzone 16N (egm96) |
| Output Coordinate System | WGS84 / UTMzone 16N (egm96) |
| Keypoints Image Scale | Custom, Image Scale: 0.5 |
| Advanced: Matching Image Pairs | Aerial Grid or Corridor |
| Advanced: Matching Strategy | Use Geometrically Verified Matching: yes |
| Advanced: Keypoint Extraction | Targeted Number of Keypoints: Automatic |
| Advanced: Calibration | Calibration Method: Aternative, Internal Parameters Optimization: int_all, External Parameters <br> Optimization: ext_all, Rematch: yes |

