Calibration of the Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Laser (VCSEL) water vapor hydrometer

Minghui Diao

Department of Meteorology and Climate Science

San Jose State University

SOCRATES science meeting November 27, 2018

NCAR



National Science Foundation

Advanced Study Program

1677F

Developing Scientific Leaders of the Future

Outline

- 1. Laboratory calibration set-up
- 2. Calibration result
- 3. Application to the SOCRATES water vapor data
 - 4. Relative humidity frequency distribution
- 5. Summary and future work



Laboratory experiment design



Fundamental physics:

Saturation vapor pressure (es) is determined by temperature only

es_{ice} and es_{liq} are calculated based on Murphy and Koop (2005)



Thanks to help from Stuart Beaton, Laura Tudor and Hendrik Gilmer

Evaluation of the calibration system

1. Does temperature series vary when cooling down or warming up?

The differences are usually **less than 3%** when testing the same temperatures.

2. Does temperature reach equilibrium between the inner and outer walls of the calibration housing?

Uncertainties range from **1% - 6%**, when the number concentration of water vapor molecules range from 1.51e+17 to 2.09e+14 #molec/cm³, respectively.

A maximum ± 6% uncertainty when using this system at 0 to -65°C.



log(H2O number concentration (# molec/cm3))

Comparison of H₂O from VCSEL and derived H₂O from RTD

(1) H₂O_VXL (v.2013.Princeton) vs H₂O_RTD temperature probe



Calibration equations of three modes for the VCSEL hygrometer





- 1. Regressions of ratio of H2O_VXL / H2O_RTD versus temperature (K)
- 2. Each mode has its own calibration
- New water vapor data (version.2018.1.Diao) are calculated by applying the adjustments to the current water vapor data (version.2013.Princeton)

Comparisons of the calibrated (v.2018.1.Diao) and current water vapor data (v.2013.Princeton)



- Water vapor data are generally adjusted to be <u>higher</u> at warmer temperatures
- Most of the in-cloud conditions at warmer T show
 <u>liquid saturation</u> with v.2018.1.Diao
- For cumulus sampling, <u>good</u> <u>synchronization</u> between RHliq and CDP number concentration

Other examples of improvements with the calibration



97.8

98.0

98.2

98.4 x10³

97.0

97.2

97.4

97.6

UTC (s)

RF06: in-cloud leg around -5°C is adjusted to be higher, reaching liquid saturation after calibration

RF09: in-cloud leg around -20°C is adjusted to be lower, closer to liquid saturation after calibration

- -30

Relative humidity frequency distribution for in-cloud conditions at temperature > -15°C

Cloud phase id method: D'Alessandro, J., <u>M. Diao</u>, C. Wu,, X. Liu, B. Stephens, and J.B. Jensen, "Cloud phase and relative humidity distribution over the Southern Ocean based on in-situ observations and global climate model simulations", *Journal of Climate, in revision*.

Summary of new calibration (v.2018.1.Diao)

1. Only temperature is considered as the factor; Overall, the calibration *improves* the statistical distributions of RHliq

- 2. Calibrated water vapor data (v.2018.1.Diao)
 - increase H_2O mixing ratio at T > 265 K
 - decrease H_2O mixing ratio at 255 K < T \leq 265 K
 - Increase H_2O mixing ratio at 225 K < T \leq 255 K
 - Increase H_2O mixing ratio at 210 K < T \leq 225 K
- 3. Table of individual peaks of in-cloud RHliq PDF (temperature > -15°C)

Future work

- Factors that remain to be addressed
 - pressure
 - water vapor (sub-saturated conditions)
 - laser intensity
- Use a different calibration system test the Princeton calibration chamber
- Use additional water vapor source add a dewpoint generator for even warmer temperatures (> 0°C)
- Hysteresis when switching modes more time series focusing on transitions

Acknowledgement

- 1. NCAR Advanced Study Program Faculty Fellowship 2018 and 2016
- NSF Office of Polar Program grant #1744965
- 3. Thanks to EOL and RAF scientists for hosting my group in the summer of 2018 and 2016.
- Many thanks to Stuart Beaton and Laura Tudor for setting up the laboratory experiments

