SWEX: National Weather Service High Resolution Radiosonde Data

Author: UCAR/NCAR - Earth Observing Laboratory

Processing and Quality Control: Scot Loehrer and Linda Cully (NCAR/EOL)

Dataset Contact: Scot Loehrer (loehrer@ucar.edu)

1.0 Data Set Description

High vertical resolution radiosonde data from National Weather Service radiosonde stations within and near the SWEX domain. Three stations are included in this dataset: San Diego CA (KNKX), Oakland CA (KOAK), and Las Vegas NV (KVEF).

Data Version: 1.0

Data Status: Final

Time Period: 30 March to 17 May 2022

Physical Location: three point locations within 32.845 to 37.745N and 115.185 to 122.224W

Data Frequency: Soundings were typically every 12 hours at 00 and 12 UTC

Vertical Resolution: 1 second (~5m)

Data Source: NOAA/National Weather Service

Data Restrictions: None

2.0 Instrument Description

2.1 Instrumentation

KNKX and KOAK used Vaisala RS41/AUTOSONDE radiosondes with a Humicap capacitance sensor with active de-icing method.

KVEF used Graw DFM-17 radiosondes with a capacitance humidity sensor

2.2 Station Locations

Site ID	WMO ID	WBAN	Site Name	State	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation (m)
KNKX	72294	03190	San Diego	CA	32.845	-117.124	135
KOAK	72493	94980	Oakland	CA	37.745	-122.224	4
KVEF	72388	53177	Las Vegas	NV	36.047	-115.185	698

3.0 Data Collection and Processing

3.1 Data Collection

All data were collected via the Global Telecommunications System. These data were in the WMO GTS BUFR radiosonde standard format.

3.2 Data Processing

The WMO GTS BUFR data were decoded using the ECMWF ECCODES software package.

The decoded data were converted to the EOL Sounding Composite (ESC) format using EOL software. ESC is a columnar ASCII format that consists of 15 header records for each sounding with the remaining records containing the radiosonde data and their associated data quality flags. ESC is further described in section 4.0.

3.3 Quality Control Processing

Each sounding was passed through a two-step quality control process. First a series of automated data quality checks were conducted including basic gross limit checks as well as rate of change checks as described in section 3.3.1. Second, each sounding was visually examined utilizing the NCAR/EOL XQC sounding QC software as described in section 3.3.2.

3.3.1 Automated Data Quality Checks

Each sounding in this dataset was passed through a set of automated data quality checks. This procedure includes both gross limit checks (section 3.3.1.1) on all parameters as well as

rate-of-change checks (section 3.3.1.2) on temperature, pressure, and ascent rate. A version of these checks is described in Loehrer et al. (1996) and Loehrer et al. (1998).

3.3.1.1 Gross Limit Checks

These checks were conducted on each sounding and the data quality flags in the ESC files were adjusted as appropriate. Only the data point under examination was flagged. All checks also produced warning messages that specified the location of the problem and the severity of the issue. These warning messages were then summarized statistically and examined to determine any consistent issues. For this data set NCAR/EOL conducted the following gross limit checks. In the table P = pressure, T = temperature, RH = relative humidity, U = U wind component, V = V wind component, B= bad, and Q = questionable.

Parameter	Check	Parameter(s) Flagged	Flag Applied
Pressure	< 0 or > 1050	P	B
Altitude	< 0 or > 40000	P, T, RH	Q
Temperature	< -90 or > 45	T	B
Dew Point	< -99.9 or > 33 > T	RH T, RH	Q Q
Wind Speed	< 0 or > 100 > 150	U, V U, V	Q B
U Wind	< 0 or > 100 > 150	U U	Q B
V Wind	< 0 or > 100 > 150	V V	Q B
Wind Direction	< 0 or > 360	U, V	B
Ascent Rate	< -10 or > 10	P, T, RH	Q

3.3.1.2 Vertical Consistency Checks

These checks were conducted on each sounding and the data quality flags in the ESC files were adjusted as appropriate. These checks were started at the surface and compared each neighboring data record. In the case of checks that ensured that the values increased/decreased as expected, only the data point under examination was flagged. However, for the other checks, all of the data points used in the examination were flagged. All items within the table are as previously defined. All checks also produced warning messages that specified the location of the problem and the severity of the issue. These warning messages where then summarized statistically and examined to determine any consistent issues.

Parameter	**Check**	**Parameter(s) Flagged**	**Flag Applied**
Time	Decreasing/Equal	None	None
Altitude	Decreasing/Equal	P, T, RH	Q
Pressure	Increasing/equal	P, T, RH	Q
	> 1mb/s or < -1mb/s > 2mb/s or < -2mb/s	P, T, RH	Q
		P, T, RH	B
Temperature	< -15ºC/km	P, T, RH	Q
	< -30ºC/km	P, T, RH	B
	> 50ºC/km	P, T, RH	Q
	> 100ºC/km	P, T, RH	B
Ascent Rate	> 3m/s or < -3m/s	P	Q
	> 5m/s or < -5m/s	P	B

3.3.2 Visual Data Quality Checks

Each sounding was visually examined using the NCAR/EOL XQC sounding data quality control software. This software allows the user to view a skew-t/log-p diagram of each sounding and apply data quality flags as appropriate. The user can zoom in on sections of soundings for detailed examination and can adjust the data quality flags for an individual point, sections of

soundings, or entire soundings for each parameter individually. The software also allows the user to override the quality flags applied by the automated procedure.

4.0 Data Format

The data are in files by day and include radiosonde data from all sites for the day concatenated into a single file. The file naming convention is: NWS_yyyymmdd.cls where yyyymmdd is the UTC year, month, and day of month.

The final dataset is in the EOL Sounding Composite (ESC) format. ESC is a columnar ASCII format that consists of 15 header records for each sounding with the remaining records containing the radiosonde data and their associated data quality flags.

4.1 Header Records

The header records (15 total records) contain a variety of metadata about the sounding (i.e. location, time, radiosonde type, etc). The first five header lines contain information identifying the sounding, and have a rigidly defined form. The following 7 header lines are used for auxiliary information and comments about the sounding, and may vary from dataset to dataset. The last 3 header records contain header information for the data columns. Line 13 holds the field names, line 14 the field units, and line 15 contains dashes ('-' characters) delineating the extent of the field.

The file standard header lines are as follows:

Line	Label	Contents
1	Data Type:	Description of the type and resolution of data
2	Project ID:	Short name for the field campaign
3	Release Site Type/Site ID:	Description of the release site
4	Release Location (lon,lat,alt):	Location of the release site
5	UTC Release Time (y,m,d,h,m,s):	Time of release

The release location is given as: lon (deg min), lat (deg min), lon (dec. deg), lat (dec. deg), alt (m)

Longitude in deg min is in the format: ddd mm.mm'W where ddd is the number of degrees (with leading zeros if necessary), mm.mm is the decimal number of minutes, and W represents W or

E for west or east longitude, respectively. Latitude has the same format as longitude, except there are only two digits for degrees and N or S for north/south latitude. The time of release is given as: yyyy, mm, dd, hh:nn:ss. Where yyyy is the year, mm is the month, dd is the day of month, and hh:nn:ss are the UTC hour, minute, and second respectively.

The seven non-standard header lines may contain any label and contents. They typically include things such as radiosonde type, radiosonde serial number, sensor information, balloon information, and/or ground station software.

4.2 Data Records

The data records each contain time from release, pressure, temperature, dew point, relative humidity, U and V wind components, wind speed and direction, ascent rate, balloon position data, altitude, and quality control flags (see the QC code description). Each data line contains 21 fields, separated by spaces, with a total width of 130 characters. The data are right-justified within the fields. All fields have one decimal place of precision, with the exception of latitude and longitude, which have three decimal places of precision. The contents and sizes of the 21 fields that appear in each data record are as follows:

Field	Width	Format	Parameter	Units	Missing Value
1	6	6.1	Time since release	Seconds	9999.0
2	6	6.1	Pressure	hPa	9999.0
3	5	5.1	Temperature	°C	999.0
4	5	5.1	Dew Point Temperature	°C	999.0
5	5	5.1	Relative Humidity	Percent	999.0
6	6	6.1	U Wind Component	m/s	9999.0
7	6	6.1	V Wind Component	m/s	9999.0
8	5	5.1	Wind Speed	m/s	999.0
9	5	5.1	Wind Direction	Degrees	999.0
10	5	5.1	Ascent Rate	m/s	999.0
11	8	8.3	Longitude	Degrees	9999.0
12	7	7.3	Latitude	Degrees	999.0
13	5	5.1	Elevation Angle	Degrees	999.0

14	5	5.1	Azimuth Angle	Degrees	999.0
15	7	7.1	Geopotential Altitude	Meters	99999.0
16	4	4.1	QC code for Pressure	Code	99.0
17	4	4.1	QC Code for Temperature	Code	99.0
18	4	4.1	QC Code for Humidity	Code	99.0
19	4	4.1	QC Code for U Wind	Code	99.0
20	4	4.1	QC Code for V Wind	Code	99.0
21	4	4.1	QC Code for Ascent Rate	Code	99.0

Fields 16 through 21 contain the data quality flags from the NCAR/Earth Observing Laboratory (EOL) sounding quality control procedures. The data quality flags are defined as follows:

Code	Description
1.0	Checked, datum seems physically reasonable. (“GOOD”)
2.0	Checked, datum seems questionable on a physical basis. (“QUESTIONABLE”)
3.0	Checked, datum seems to be in error. (“BAD”)
4.0	Checked, datum is interpolated. (“ESTIMATED”)
9.0	Checked, datum is missing. (“MISSING”)
99.0	Unchecked (QC information is “missing”.) (“UNCHECKED”)

5.0 Data Remarks

KOAK 202204121103 - high resolution data was not available. The mandatory/significant level data are provided

KOAK 202204172303 - high resolution data was not available. The mandatory/significant level data are provided

6.0 References

Loehrer, S. M., T. A. Edmands, and J. A. Moore, 1996: TOGA COARE upper-air sounding data archive: development and quality control procedures. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 77, 2651-2671.

Loehrer, S. M., S. F. Williams, and J. A. Moore, 1998: Results from UCAR/JOSS quality control of atmospheric soundings from field projects. Preprints, Tenth Symposium on Meteorological Observations and Instrumentation, Phoenix, AZ, Amer. Meteor. Soc., 1-6.